



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	А	ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.
08/8	22,963	03/21/97	LIU		D	ENZ-56

**ADVISORY ACTION** 

DR

HM22/0314

RONALD C FEDUS ENZO THERAPEUTICS INC C O ENZO BIOCHEM INC 527 MADISON AVENUE 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10022

	EXAMINER
	GUZO, D
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1636 18

DATE MAILED:

03/14/00

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

□ TH	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 🗀	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
ь) 🗀	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
<b>☑</b> Ar	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed2/25/00 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1. 🔽	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
ond.	regarding introduction of mullie acids constructs us modification of sa
2. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  HAVING BEEN FILED
3. 🗹	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 68-90
	However;
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗹	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because given the explicants or most,
5. 🗌	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
The	e proposed drawing correction  has has not been approved by the examiner.
Oth	DAVID GUZO PRIMARY EXAMINER
	David Jugo